

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,564	06/16/2006	Michael Cornelis Van Beek	PHDE040153US	7916	
38107 PHILIPS INT	7590 05/27/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P. O. Box 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			PUNNOOSE, ROY M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2886		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/596,564 VAN BEEK ET AL. Art Unit ROY PUNNOOSE 2886

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

earned paten	t term adjustment.	See 37	CFR	1.704(0).

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 (76 H; 139(a). In real fire 50; (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sort overded period for reply with by static, cause the Any riply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this camer diptom term adjustment. See 3 (76 H; 174(b)).	THIS COMMUNICATION. event, however, may a reply be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2006	<u>5</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is	s non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exce	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-17 is/are pending in the applicati	on.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	n requirement.
Application Papers	
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2006 is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is req	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
Certified copies of the priority documents have be	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have b	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu application from the International Bureau (PCT F 	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the co	ertified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/16/2006.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/596,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 2886

DETAILED ACTION

Arrangement of the Specification

- As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading.
 - (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
 - (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS (if applicable).
 - (c) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
 - (d) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
 - (e) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING(S).
 - (f) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
 - (g) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
 - (h) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a <u>separate sheet</u> and <u>not more than 150 words</u>).
- The specification of the instant application is objected to because several headings and/or sub-headings are missing. Appropriate correction is required.
- The abstract is objected to because it has more than 150 words. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225

Application/Control Number: 10/596,564

Art Unit: 2886

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-10 and 12-17 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 and 15-21 of copending Application No. 10/596,563. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations claimed in claims 1-10 and 12-17 are found in claims 1-13 and 15-21 of copending Application No. 10/596,563.
- This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Myrick et al (U.S. Patent 6,198,531 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,564 Page 4

Art Unit: 2886

9. Claim 1 is rejected because Myrick et al (Myrick hereinafter) teaches of an optical analysis system for determining an amplitude of a principal component of an optical signal, the optical analysis system comprising: a first multivariate optical element 52a₁, 52b₁ for wavelength selective separation of the optical signal into a first part and a second part, a second multivariate optical element 52a₂, 52b₂ for wavelength selective weighting of the optical signal on the basis of a spectral weighting function, a first and a second detector for detecting the weighted first and second parts of the optical signal (see col. 13, line 44 – col.14 line 67; Figure 9).

10. Claims 12 and 14 are rejected for reasons similar to the reasons for rejection of claim 1 above because claims 12 and 14 have limitations similar to that claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Application/Control Number: 10/596,564

Art Unit: 2886

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- Claims 2-10, 13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myrick et al (U.S. Patent 6,198,531 B1).
- 15. Claims 2-10, 13 and 15-17 rejected because in view of Myrick's teachings, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art (PHOSITA) at the time the invention was made to incorporate the features/limitations claimed in claims 2-10, 13 and 15-17 because it would have taken only ordinary engineering expedience to do so to obtain a desired result.

Contact/Status Information

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy M. Punnoose whose telephone number is (571)272-2427. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Tarifur R. Chowdhury** can be reached on **571-272-2287**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Art Unit: 2886

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy M. Punnoose/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2886